# Macroeconomics

Lecture 16: incomplete markets, part two

Chris Edmond

1st Semester 2019

### This class

- Solving the Huggett (1993) model
- A simple 2-state Markov example
- Approximating continuous-state processes using Markov chains (the Tauchen-Hussey (1991) procedure)

#### Discrete state space approximation

• Consider discrete grid of asset levels

$$a_{\min} < \ldots < a_i < \ldots < a_{\max} \qquad i = 1, ..., n$$

where  $a_{\min}$  is the borrowing constraint

• Suppose endowment process is a Markov chain with support

$$y_{\min} < \ldots < y_k < \ldots < y_{\max} \qquad k = 1, ..., m$$

and transition probabilities

 $\pi_{kl} = \operatorname{Prob}[y' = y_l \mid y = y_k]$ 

#### Discrete state space approximation

• Given price q, let  $c_{ijk}$  denote current consumption if current asset level is  $a = a_i$ , the asset level for next period is  $a' = a_j$  and the current endowment is  $y_k$ 

 $c_{ijk} = a_i + y_k - qa_j$ 

• We will need to be careful to respect the feasibility constraints

 $a_{\min} \le a_j \le q^{-1}(a_i + y_k)$ 

• Let  $u_{ijk}$  denote the flow utility associated with  $c_{ij}$ 

 $u_{ijk} = u(c_{ijk})$ 

#### Discrete state space approximation

• In this notation, our value function is an  $n \times m$  matrix V with typical element

$$v_{ik} = \max_{j} \left[ u_{ijk} + \beta \sum_{l=1}^{m} v_{jl} \pi_{kl} \right]$$

• The maximization on the RHS implies a policy function, i.e., an  $n \times m$  matrix G with typical element

$$g_{ik} = a_{j^*}, \qquad j^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{j} \left[ u_{ijk} + \beta \sum_{l=1}^m v_{jl} \pi_{kl} \right]$$

#### State vector

• Endowment process  $y_t$  follows an exogenous Markov chain

 $\operatorname{Prob}[y_{t+1} \mid y_t]$ 

• State  $s_t = (a_t, y_t)$  follows an *endogenous* Markov chain

 $\operatorname{Prob}[s_{t+1} = |s_t]$ 

• Need to calculate transition probabilities for this Markov chain

### Transition probabilities for the state vector

• Write the transition probabilities for the state

 $Prob[a_{t+1}, y_{t+1} | a_t, y_t]$ 

• But the distribution of  $y_{t+1}$  is independent of  $a_{t+1}$  so this is

 $\operatorname{Prob}[a_{t+1} \mid a_t, y_t] \times \operatorname{Prob}[y_{t+1} \mid y_t]$ 

• But  $a_{t+1}$  is given by the optimal policy  $a_{t+1} = g(a_t, y_t)$  so

 $Prob[a_{t+1} | a_t, y_t] = \mathbb{1}[a_{t+1} = g(a_t, y_t)]$ 

where  $\mathbb{1}[\cdot]$  denotes the indicator function

#### Transition probabilities for the state vector

#### • Hence

$$Prob[a_{t+1}, y_{t+1} | a_t, y_t] = \mathbb{1}[a_{t+1} = g(a_t, y_t)] \times Prob[y_{t+1} | y_t]$$

- So once we have computed the policy function g(a, y) we can also compute these transition probabilities
- In this sense, the Markov process for the state  $s_t = (a_t, y_t)$  is a coupling of the exogenous process for  $y_t$  with the policy function

#### Huggett: 2-state example

Uses Matlab files in "huggett\_example.zip" in LMS

```
%%%%% economic parameters
beta = 0.95; %% time discount factor
alpha = 1.5; %% CRRA (=1/IES)
%%%%% 2-state markov chain for endowments
ymin = 0.1;
ymax = 1.0;
ygrid = [ymin; ymax];
p11 = 0.500; p12 = 1 - p11;
p22 = 0.925; p21 = 1 - p22;
Р
   = [p11,p12;p21,p22];
```

## Asset grid

%%%%% asset grid			
phi =	(ymin/(1-beta))-eps;	%% borrowing constraint	
na amin amax	= 1000; = -phi; = 12;		
agrid	= nodeunif(na , amin,	amax);	

#### State vector

```
%%%% state grid
s = gridmake(agrid,ygrid); % ns-by-2 matrix where ns=na*ny
ns = size(s,1);
a = s(:,1);
y = s(:,2);
```

## Inner dynamic programming loop

```
%%%%% initialize inner dynamic programming loop
       = \log(0.5 \star y) / (1 - beta);
V
iter = 0;
for i=1:max_iter,
         = u+beta*kron(P,ones(na,1))*reshape(v,na,2)';
RHS
[Tv, argmax] = max(RHS, [], 2);
%%%%% policy that attains the maximum
q = a(arqmax);
```

Kronecker product calculates conditional expectation of value function next period

### Transition matrix for the state

```
%%%%% construct transition matrix for the state s=(a,y)
A = zeros(ns, na);
Q = zeros(ns, ns);
PP = kron(P, ones(na, 1));
for s=1:ns,
    A(s,:) = (agrid==g(s))'; %% puts a 1 if g(s)=a
    Q(s,:) = kron(PP(s,:),A(s,:));
end
```

This is fiddly

### **Compute stationary distribution**

```
%%%%% compute stationary distribution
[eig_vectors,eig_values] = eig(Q');
[~,arg] = min(abs(diag(eig_values)-1));
unit_eig_vector = eig_vectors(:,arg);
mu = unit_eig_vector/sum(unit_eig_vector);
```

Be careful to check the orientation of the transition matrix

#### Check market clearing

%%%%% check market clearing

z = sum(mu.\*g);

### Find q that solves F(q) = 0

```
%%%% find q that zeros out market-clearing condition
qmin = beta+eps;
qmax = 1 -eps;
%fmin = findq(qmin,parameters,max_iter,penalty,tol);
%fmax = findq(qmax,parameters,max_iter,penalty,tol);
optset('bisect','tol',tol) ;
tic
q = bisect('findq',qmin,qmax,parameters,max_iter,penalty,tol);
toc
```

## **Excess demand** F(q)



Asset policy 
$$a' = g(a, y)$$



## **Consumption policy** c(a, y)



## Marginal asset distribution $\sum_{y} \mu(a, y)$



### Tauchen/Hussey (1991) approximation

- Can use quadrature to obtain discrete Markov chain approximation to process with continuous support
- Density for  $x_{t+1} = x'$  conditional on  $x_t = x$

 $p(x' \,|\, x)$ 

• Discretize support of x to n quadrature nodes  $x_i$  and replace p(x' | x) by  $n \times n$  matrix of transition probabilities

$$p_{ij} = \frac{p(x_j \mid x_i) \frac{w_j}{\omega(x_j)}}{\sum_{j'=1}^n p(x_{j'} \mid x_i) \frac{w_{j'}}{\omega(x_{j'})}}, \qquad i, j = 1, ..., n$$

where  $w_i$  are quadrature weights for  $x_i$  and  $\omega(x)$  is a 'regularity function' that controls the quality of the approximation to higher moments

### Tauchen/Hussey (1991) example

• Suppose we want to approximate AR(1) with Markov chain

$$p(x' \mid x) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi \left( \frac{x' - (1 - \rho)\bar{x} - \rho x}{\sigma} \right)$$

• Lookup quadrature nodes  $x_i$ , weights  $w_i$  for normal  $N(\mu, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ . Set regularity function to

$$\omega(x) = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}}\phi\left(\frac{x-\bar{x}}{\hat{\sigma}}\right)$$

• Tauchen/Hussey (1991) advocate  $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$  (innovation std dev). But Floden (2008) advocates that for highly persistent processes

$$\hat{\sigma} = \theta \sigma + (1 - \theta) \bar{\sigma}, \qquad \theta = 1/2 + \rho/4$$

 $(\rho \approx 1 \Rightarrow \text{more weight in tails, better match conditional variance})$ 

Uses Matlab files in "tauchen\_hussey\_example.zip" in LMS

```
%%%%% AR1 process
phi = 0.95; %% AR1 coefficient
sigeps = 0.10; %% innovation std deviation
% long run moments
mu = 0;
sigma = sigeps/sqrt(1-phi^2);
```



Inside the function file

```
%%%%% INDICATOR FOR FLODEN CORRECTION
if floden==1,
  = 0.5 + phi/4;
W
sigx = sigeps/sqrt(1-phi^2); %% unconditional std dev
flodensigma = w \times sigeps + (1-w) \times sigx;
else
flodensigma = sigeps;
end
8888 LOOKUP QUADRATURE NODES AND WEIGHTS
[nodes, weights] = qnwnorm(N, mu, flodensigma^2);
```

```
%%%%% CONSTRUCT TRANSITION MATRIX
%p[ij] = f[ij] * quadrature weight(j) / regularity function(j)
for i=1:N,
    for j=1:N,
%%%%% conditional mean
mean = (1-phi) *mu + phi *nodes(i);
%%%%% given we are at node(i), what is likelihood of node(j)?
F(i,j) = normpdf(nodes(j),mean,sigeps);
%%%%% multiply by quadrature weights
P(i,j) = F(i,j) * weights(j);
%%%%% divide by regularity_function
regularity function(j) = normpdf(nodes(j), mu, flodensigma);
P(i,j) = P(i,j) / regularity_function(j);
```

```
%%%%% normalize so rows sum to 1
for i=1:N,
     P(i,:) = P(i,:) / sum(P(i,:),2);
end
```

#### Markov chain vs. AR1 with same moments



#### Next class

- Aiyagari (1994)
  - production economy with capital and labor (heterogeneous agents version of stochastic growth model)
  - but still no aggregate risk