

Monetary Economics

Lecture 9: monetary policy in
the new Keynesian model, part two

Chris Edmond

2nd Semester 2014

This class

- Monetary policy in the new Keynesian model, part two
 - equilibrium stability and uniqueness
 - implementation of optimal policy
- Reading: Gali, chapter 4 section 4.3

This class

1- Equilibrium stability and uniqueness

- forwards and backwards dynamics
- eigenvalues etc

2- Implementation of optimal policy

- passive rules
- active (feedback) rules

Stability of dynamical systems

- Consider scalar equation

$$x_{t+1} = \rho x_t$$

- Suppose you have given initial condition x_0 . Solve *backwards*, stable solution if and only if

$$|\rho| < 1 \quad \text{such that} \quad x_t = \rho^t x_0 \rightarrow 0$$

- But if no given initial condition (“jump” variable), solve *forwards*

$$x_t = a x_{t+1}, \quad a \equiv \rho^{-1}$$

stable solution if and only if

$$|a| < 1 \quad \text{such that} \quad x_t = 0 \quad \text{for all } t$$

- Note: stability depends on forwards or backwards dynamics

Stability of dynamical systems

- Now consider system

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \quad \text{perhaps some } \mathbf{x}_0 \text{ given}$$

- For unique solution, need same number of stable variables in *forward dynamics* as there are missing initial conditions
- Example: optimal growth model
 - two dynamic variables (capital and consumption)
 - one given initial condition (capital stock)
 - one stable variable in the forward dynamics (consumption)

Stability of dynamical systems

- Consider 2-by-2 dynamical system

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

- If system is *diagonal*, then

$$\mathbf{A}^t = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^t & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}^t \end{pmatrix}$$

(stability determined by diagonal coefficients)

- For large class of “regular” matrices, can uncouple or *diagonalize*

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$$

where \mathbf{D} is diagonal matrix of *eigenvalues*

Stability of dynamical systems

- Then do change of variables (“rotation”)

$$\mathbf{z}_t \equiv \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t$$

so that

$$\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{z}_{t+1}$$

- Stability properties of \mathbf{x}_t equivalent to those of \mathbf{z}_t
- ⇒ study eigenvalues of coefficient matrix \mathbf{A}

Eigenvalues

- For a square matrix \mathbf{A} a scalar λ is an *eigenvalue* if and only if

$$\mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}$$

is *singular*

- \Leftrightarrow there are solutions to $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ other than $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- \Leftrightarrow the *determinant* of \mathbf{B} is zero

- For a 2-by-2 matrix, simple formula for determinant

$$\det(\mathbf{B}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} = b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{21}$$

- Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) - a_{12}a_{21} \\ &= \lambda^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22})\lambda + a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \end{aligned}$$

Eigenvalues

- So for a 2-by-2 matrix, the eigenvalues solve a quadratic equation

$$p(\lambda) \equiv \lambda^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22})\lambda + a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$$

(the *characteristic polynomial*)

- Two roots. From the quadratic formula

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{(a_{11} + a_{22}) \pm \sqrt{(a_{11} + a_{22})^2 - 4(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})}}{2}$$

- Roots may be real or complex, repeated or distinct
 - if roots repeated, diagonalization a bit more complicated

Key properties of eigenvalues

- Determinant of n -by- n matrix is product of eigenvalues

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i, \quad \det(c\mathbf{A}) = c^n \det(\mathbf{A})$$

- Trace of n -by- n matrix is sum of eigenvalues

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i, \quad \text{tr}(c\mathbf{A}) = c \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$$

- So for 2-by-2 matrix can write characteristic polynomial

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})\lambda + \det(\mathbf{A}) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)$$

and so relative magnitudes of eigenvalues determined by

$$p(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)$$

therefore

$$p(1) = (1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{both eigenvalues on same side of } +1$$

Back to the new Keynesian model

- Shut down shocks to focus on deterministic dynamics (straightforward to add back in). Non-policy block of the model

$$\tilde{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - \mathbb{E}_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} - r_t^n) + \mathbb{E}_t\{\tilde{y}_{t+1}\}$$

and

$$\pi_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t\{\pi_{t+1}\} + \kappa \tilde{y}_t$$

- Optimal policy entails

$$\pi_t = 0$$

$$\tilde{y}_t = 0$$

$$i_t = r_t^n$$

- Let's try and implement this with passive $i_t = r_t^n$ rule

System of equations

- For passive $i_t = r_t^n$ policy, system of equations just

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_t[\tilde{y}_{t+1}] \\ \mathbb{E}_t[\pi_{t+1}] \end{pmatrix}$$

- Matrix algebra trick (for 2-by-2 matrices)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

- With this trick

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \kappa & \beta + \frac{\kappa}{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_t[\tilde{y}_{t+1}] \\ \mathbb{E}_t[\pi_{t+1}] \end{pmatrix}$$

Stability in the new Keynesian model

- In short

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_t[\tilde{y}_{t+1}] \\ \mathbb{E}_t[\pi_{t+1}] \end{pmatrix}$$

- Stability properties depend crucially on eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}
 - two endogenous dynamic variables
 - no given initial conditions (both are “jump” variables)
 - for uniqueness, need both eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} *inside* unit circle (in forward dynamics)

Passive interest rate rule $i_t = r_t^n$

- Determinant

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \kappa & \beta + \frac{\kappa}{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \beta = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

- Trace

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \kappa & \beta + \frac{\kappa}{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \beta + \frac{\kappa}{\sigma} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

- Polynomial at unity

$$p(1) = 1 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) + \det(\mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\kappa}{\sigma} < 0$$

- Implications

- (i) product positive, so both eigenvalues have same sign
- (ii) sum is positive, therefore from (i) both positive
- (iii) polynomial $p(1) < 0$, eigenvalues not on same side of +1

$$0 < \lambda_1 < 1 < \lambda_2$$

Passive interest rate rule $i_t = r_t^n$

- Coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} has $\lambda_1 < 1$ and $\lambda_2 > 1$
- Passive policy with $i_t = r_t^n$ has $\pi_t = \tilde{y}_t = 0$ as *an* equilibrium
- But there are *multiple* equilibria
 - one dimensional degree of indeterminacy
 - no reason to believe optimal outcome will emerge
- What about other rules? Can they ‘reliably’ implement optimum?

Feedback rule

- Consider interest rate rule with feedback

$$i_t = r_t^n + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t$$

- Now deterministic dynamics governed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_t \\ \pi_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_t[\tilde{y}_{t+1}] \\ \mathbb{E}_t[\pi_{t+1}] \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \Omega \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 1 - \beta\phi_\pi \\ \sigma\kappa & \kappa + \beta(\sigma + \phi_y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma + \phi_y + \kappa\phi_\pi}$$

- When does \mathbf{A} have both eigenvalues inside unit circle?

Feedback rule

- Determinant

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \Omega^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 1 - \beta\phi_\pi \\ \sigma\kappa & \kappa + \beta(\sigma + \phi_y) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sigma\beta}{\sigma + \phi_y + \kappa\phi_\pi} = \lambda_1\lambda_2$$

- Trace

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \Omega \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 1 - \beta\phi_\pi \\ \sigma\kappa & \kappa + \beta(\sigma + \phi_y) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sigma + \kappa + \beta(\sigma + \phi_y)}{\sigma + \phi_y + \kappa\phi_\pi} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

- Polynomial at unity

$$p(1) = 1 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) + \det(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\phi_y(1 - \beta) + \kappa(\phi_\pi - 1)}{\sigma + \phi_y + \kappa\phi_\pi}$$

- Implications

- (i) product positive, so both eigenvalues have same sign
- (ii) sum is positive, therefore from (i) both positive
- (iii) since $\beta < 1$, product is < 1 , therefore at least one is < 1
- (iv) therefore both eigenvalues < 1 if and only if $p(1) > 0$

- Equivalently, if and only if

$$\phi_y(1 - \beta) + \kappa(\phi_\pi - 1) > 0$$

- A sufficient condition is for $\phi_\pi > 1$

- Interest rate response needs to be “*sufficiently reactive*”

Taylor principle

- Intuition. In steady state

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial \pi} = \phi_{\pi} + \phi_y \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial \pi}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial \pi} = \frac{1 - \beta}{\kappa}$$

- Therefore

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial \pi} > 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \phi_{\pi} + \phi_y \frac{1 - \beta}{\kappa} > 1$$

- This is the same as our condition from the polynomial at unity
- Real interest rate rises in response to inflation

Equilibrium outcome

- Suppose $\phi_\pi > 1$ so that condition is satisfied
- In equilibrium, both endogenous variables jump to stable solution

$$\tilde{y}_t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_t = 0$$

- Therefore

$$i_t = r_t^n$$

- But an *equilibrium outcome*, not a description of the *policy rule*
- “*Off-equilibrium threat*” of sufficient reaction
- Under these conditions, feedback rule implements optimal policy
 - other rules can also implement optimal policy

Next class

- Monetary policy in the new Keynesian model, part three
 - simple rules (Taylor rules, etc)
- Reading: Gali, chapter 4 section 4.4