

Advanced Macroeconomics

Knowledge accumulation and endogenous growth

Chris Edmond

1st Semester 2019

This class

- Romer *endogenous* growth model
 - R&D and returns to knowledge accumulation
 - implications for aggregate growth

Endogenous growth

- In Solow-Swan and Ramsey-Cass-Koopmans growth models, the source of long-run growth is *exogenous*, unexplained by the model
- Various ways to make long-run growth endogenous
 - human capital accumulation
 - knowledge accumulation, including learning-by-doing, etc
- Have many formal similarities
 - key is returns to scale to produced factors
 - gives something like an ‘*AK*’ growth model

Knowledge accumulation

Knowledge takes many forms, from pure mathematics to soft drink recipes. Knowledge is different from conventional private goods

- (1) All forms of knowledge are *non-rival*, my knowledge of the Pythagorean theorem does not prevent you knowing it too
- (2) But forms of knowledge vary in degree of *excludability*, depends on
 - technical details of the knowledge (e.g., complexity)
 - institutional settings (e.g., patent law)

Conventional private goods are both rival and excludable

Romer (1990) growth model

- Knowledge embedded in goods that are *imperfect substitutes*
- Developer of new idea has monopoly rights to use of idea
- Provides incentives for R&D activities, knowledge production
- Resources allocated to R&D determine aggregate growth rate
- *Equilibrium* allocation to R&D < socially optimal allocation

Setup

- Continuous time $t \geq 0$
- Constant labor force $L > 0$
- No physical capital (no transitional dynamics)
- Two sectors: (i) goods production sector employing L_Y and (ii) R&D sector employing L_A . Key is allocation of labor

$$L_Y + L_A = L$$

Imperfect substitutes

- Knowledge embedded in *intermediate goods* $i \in [0, A]$, range of goods $A > 0$ endogenous
- Intermediate goods combined to produce composite final good
- In particular, composite final good is CES function of intermediates

$$Y = \left(\int_0^A y(i)^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} di \right)^{\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}}, \quad \eta > 1$$

Perfect substitutes is the special case $\eta \rightarrow \infty$, Cobb-Douglas is the special case $\eta \rightarrow 1^+$, ($\eta < 1$ not permitted — we'll see why)

- For convenience, let

$$\phi \equiv \frac{\eta - 1}{\eta} \in (0, 1)$$

Imperfect substitutes

- Intermediates produced with labor one-for-one

$$y(i) = l(i), \quad L_Y = \int_0^A l(i) di$$

- Suppose all intermediates use constant $l(i) = l$ labor

$$y = l, \quad L_Y = Al$$

Then production of goods is

$$Y = \left(\int_0^A \left(\frac{L_Y}{A} \right)^\phi di \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}} = A^{\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}} L_Y$$

Constant returns to L_Y , increasing in A .

Market structure

- Final good produced by competitive firms
- Final good producers buy intermediates at relative price $p(i)$ to maximize profits

$$Y = \int_0^A p(i)y(i) di \quad \text{subject to} \quad Y = \left(\int_0^A y(i)^\phi di \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}}$$

This implies a *demand curve* facing each intermediate

- Intermediate producers choose price $p(i)$ internalizing the effect on demand (i.e., recognizing their market power)
- This is *monopolistic competition* between the intermediates. Ethier (1982) version of Dixit-Stiglitz (1977)

Final good producers

- Choose $y(i)$ to maximize profits

$$\left(\int_0^A y(i)^\phi di \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi}} - \int_0^A p(i)y(i) di$$

- So for each $i \in [0, A]$ have the first order condition

$$y(i) : \left(\int_0^A y(i)^\phi di \right)^{\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}} y(i)^{\phi-1} - p(i) = 0$$

which can be written

$$y(i) = p(i)^{\frac{1}{\phi-1}} Y = p(i)^{-\eta} Y$$

(i.e., with demand elasticity $\frac{1}{\phi-1} = -\eta < -1$)

Intermediate producers

- Choose $l(i)$ to maximize profits

$$\pi(i) = p(i)y(i) - wl(i)$$

subject to (i) their production function $y(i) = l(i)$ and (ii) the downward-sloping demand curve

$$y(i) = p(i)^{-\eta} Y$$

- Equivalently, choose $p(i)$ to maximize

$$\pi(i) = \left[p(i)^{1-\eta} - wp(i)^{-\eta} \right] Y$$

with solution

$$p(i) = \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} w$$

(price is *markup* $\frac{\eta}{\eta-1} > 1$ over marginal cost)

Intermediate producers

- Implies intermediate profits proportional to size

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(i) &= p(i)y(i) - wl(i) \\ &= \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta - 1} - 1\right)wl(i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta - 1}wl(i) \\ &= \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi}wl(i)\end{aligned}$$

Knowledge production

- Labor allocation

$$L_Y(t) + L_A(t) = L$$

- Production of new ideas linear in $L_A(t)$

$$\dot{A}(t) = B L_A(t) A(t), \quad B > 0, \quad A(0) > 0$$

so that $g_A(t) \equiv \dot{A}(t)/A(t) = B L_A(t)$ is the growth rate of the stock of knowledge $A(t)$

- Parameter $B > 0$ measures the ‘productivity’ in R&D sector (i.e., difficult to create new knowledge if B is small)

Representative household

- Maximizes

$$U = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \log c(t) dt, \quad \rho > 0$$

subject to the intertemporal budget constraint

$$\int_0^{\infty} q(t)c(t) dt = x(0) + \int_0^{\infty} q(t)w(t) dt$$

where $x(0)$ denotes initial wealth per worker and $q(t)$ denotes the intertemporal price of consumption

$$q(t) \equiv \exp \left(- \int_0^t r(s) ds \right)$$

- Simple consumption Euler equation, with log utility

$$\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} = r(t) - \rho$$

Free entry into R&D

- Monopoly rights ('patent') on new idea last forever
- Present value of profits from idea i introduced at $t \geq 0$

$$\int_t^{\infty} \frac{q(\tau)}{q(t)} \pi(i, \tau) d\tau$$

where $\pi(i, \tau)$ denotes flow profits on dates $\tau \geq t$ and where $q(\tau)/q(t)$ discounts flow profits from τ to t

- Anyone can produce new idea by hiring $\frac{1}{BA(t)}$ labor at $w(t)$. Acts like a sunk cost, implies free entry condition

$$\int_t^{\infty} \frac{q(\tau)}{q(t)} \pi(i, \tau) d\tau \leq \frac{w(t)}{BA(t)}$$

Symmetric equilibrium

- Consumption per worker, from goods market clearing

$$c(t) = C(t)/L = Y(t)/L$$

- Production and employment per intermediate

$$y(i, t) = y(t) = l(t) = l(i, t)$$

- Implies aggregate labor in goods production

$$L_Y(t) = \int_0^{A(t)} l(i, t) di = A(t)l(t)$$

and aggregate quantity of goods produced

$$Y(t) = A(t)^{\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}} L_Y(t)$$

so that growth rate of goods produced is

$$g_Y(t) = \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} g_A(t) + g_{L_Y}(t)$$

Solving the model

- Guess growth rate g_A is constant
- Hence from production function for new ideas

$$g_A = BL_A$$

for some constant L_A to be determined

- Hence from labor market clearing

$$L_Y = L - L_A$$

is constant too, so that

$$g_Y = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} g_A = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} BL_A$$

Solving the model

- In such an equilibrium, employment per intermediate is

$$l(t) = \frac{L - L_A}{A(t)}$$

- Zero profits for competitive final goods producers

$$Y(t) = \int_0^{A(t)} p(t)y(t) di = A(t)p(t)l(t) = \frac{\eta}{\eta - 1}w(t)(L - L_A)$$

- Hence also have

$$g_Y = g_w$$

Solving the model

- Profits per intermediate

$$\pi(t) = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} w(t)l(t) = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \frac{w(t)}{A(t)} (L - L_A)$$

with

$$g_\pi = g_w - g_A = g_Y - g_A = \left(\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} - 1 \right) g_A = \frac{1 - 2\phi}{\phi} g_A$$

Profits grow $g_\pi > 0$ if $\phi < 1/2$ [relatively low substitution]
but shrink $g_\pi < 0$ if $\phi > 1/2$ [relatively high substitution]

- From consumption Euler equation

$$g_c = r - \rho$$

hence from goods market clearing

$$g_c = g_C = g_Y = r - \rho$$

Present value of profits

- Intermediates' profits grow/shrink at rate g_π , implies

$$\pi(\tau) = \pi(t)e^{g_\pi(\tau-t)}, \quad \tau \geq t$$

- Since constant r , intertemporal prices likewise have form

$$q(\tau) = q(t)e^{-r(\tau-t)}, \quad \tau \geq t$$

- Hence the present value of profits from idea introduced at $t \geq 0$

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{q(\tau)}{q(t)} \pi(\tau) d\tau = \int_t^\infty e^{-r(\tau-t)} \pi(t) e^{g_\pi(\tau-t)} d\tau$$

$$= \pi(t) \int_t^\infty e^{-(r-g_\pi)(\tau-t)} d\tau$$

$$= \frac{\pi(t)}{r - g_\pi}$$

Equilibrium free entry condition

- From the expression for profits per intermediate

$$\pi(t) = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \frac{w(t)}{A(t)} (L - L_A)$$

- From the consumption Euler equation

$$r - g_\pi = \rho + g_Y - (g_Y - g_A) = \rho + g_A$$

- Hence we can write the free entry condition

$$\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \frac{L - L_A}{\rho + g_A} \frac{w(t)}{A(t)} \leq \frac{1}{B} \frac{w(t)}{A(t)}$$

Simplifying and using $g_A = BL_A$ then gives

$$\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} (L - L_A) \leq \frac{\rho}{B} + L_A$$

Equilibrium free entry condition

- Equilibrium labor employed in knowledge production is

$$L_A^* = \max \left[0, (1 - \phi)L - \phi \frac{\rho}{B} \right]$$

so that the equilibrium growth rate is

$$g_A^* = BL_A^* = \max [0, (1 - \phi)BL - \phi\rho]$$

with

$$g_Y^* = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} g_A^* = g_C^* = g_w^*, \quad g_\pi^* = g_Y^* - g_A^*$$

and so on

Comparative statics

- Equilibrium growth rate g_Y^* determined by ρ, ϕ, B, L
 - higher ρ [more impatience] reduces g_Y^*
 - higher ϕ [intermediates closer substitutes] reduces $g_Y^* = \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} g_A^*$, both directly and through g_A^*
 - higher B [more productive R&D sector] increases g_Y^*
 - higher L [larger economy] increases g_Y^*
- Last implication is troubling. Do larger economies grow faster?
- If ρ high, ϕ high, or BL small, i.e., if

$$\rho > \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} BL$$

then $L_A^* = 0$ hence $g_Y^* = g_A^* = 0$ (since no other source of growth)

Lifetime utility

- Representative household

$$U = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \log c(t) dt$$

- Suppose $c(t) = e^{gt}c(0)$ for some g , then

$$U = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} [\log c(0) + gt] dt = \frac{\log c(0)}{\rho} + \frac{g}{\rho^2}$$

which uses the familiar

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} dt = \frac{1}{\rho}$$

and integrating by parts

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} t dt = \frac{1}{\rho^2}$$

- Lifetime utility in current value units

$$\rho U = \log c(0) + \frac{g}{\rho}$$

Optimal allocation

- Suppose planner chooses L_A to maximize

$$\rho U = \log c(0) + \frac{g}{\rho}$$

subject to growth rate

$$g = \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} B L_A$$

and level of consumption per worker

$$c(0) = \frac{C(0)}{L} = A(0)^{\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}} \left(\frac{L - L_A}{L} \right)$$

- Planner's objective is then

$$\rho U = \log \left(\frac{L - L_A}{L} \right) + \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \log A(0) + \frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \frac{B L_A}{\rho}$$

Optimal allocation

- Planner's solution

$$L_A^{\text{optimal}} = \max \left[0, L - \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi} \frac{\rho}{B} \right]$$

- Decentralized outcome

$$L_A^{\text{equilibrium}} = \max \left[0, (1 - \phi)L - \phi \frac{\rho}{B} \right]$$

- Hence planner allocates more to R&D

$$L_A^{\text{equilibrium}} = (1 - \phi)L_A^{\text{optimal}} < L_A^{\text{optimal}}$$

R&D externalities

- Planner internalizes three externalities from R&D

(i) *producer surplus* effect

final goods producers obtain surplus from intermediates
[+ pecuniary externality]

(ii) *business-stealing* effect

new goods erode profits of existing producers
[− pecuniary externality]

(iii) *pure R&D* effect

innovators earn return on idea in goods production but not in
knowledge production [+ non-pecuniary externality]

- Net effect is in general ambiguous but in this example net positive